	Case 2:23-cv-01907-TLN-KJN Docume	nt 6 Filed 11/07/23	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	STEPHEN J. CUTTY,	No. 2:23-cv-1907-	TLN-KJN (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOV	V CAUSE
13	v.		
14	DAVID A. MECHAM and TITAN		
15	REVENUE SOLUTIONS,		
16	Defendants.		
17	Plaintiff filed this case in September of 2023, and on November 2, defendant answered.		
18	(ECF Nos. 1, 5.) A review of the docket indicates defendant is attempting to appear without the		
19	aid of an attorney. However, it appears the complaint is brought against him and his company,		
20	Titan Revenue Solutions. It is unclear from the pleadings what kind of entity is Titan Revenue		
21	Solutions, but case law is clear that entities cannot appear pro se. See Rowland v. California		
22	Men's Colony, Unit II Men's Advisory Council, 506 U.S. 194, 202 (1993) ("It has been the law		
23	for the better part of two centuries, for example, that a corporation may appear in the federal		
24	courts only through licensed counsel the rationale for that rule applies equally to all artificial		
25	entities."); D-Beam Ltd. P'ship v. Roller Derby Skates, Inc., 366 F.3d 972, 973-74 (9th Cir. 2004)		
26	"Corporations and other unincorporated associations must appear in court through an attorney.");		
27	see also, e.g., Aellis O. v. Connor, 2022 WL 2229421, *3 (S.D. Cal. June 21, 2022) (dismissing		
28	pleadings filed by pro se party on behalf of d/b/a entity where it was unclear the status of the		
		1	

Case 2:23-cv-01907-TLN-KJN Document 6 Filed 11/07/23 Page 2 of 2

1	entity); Clancy v. Bromley Tea Co., 2014 WL 212603, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2014)		
2	("Defending a civil suit without the benefit of counsel is problematic enough for an individual,		
3	but as corporations, Defendants may not appear pro se."); Saks v. International Longshore &		
4	Warehouse, 2011 WL 13176423 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 13, 2011) ("However, because he is not an		
5	attorney, Saks cannot represent a partnership, even one of which he is a partner.").		
6	Accordingly, defendant is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, within 14 days of this orde		
7	why the answer (ECF No. 5) should not be stricken from the record to the extent it purports to		
8	answer for the apparent entity Titan Revenue Service. Defendant may show cause by filing		
9	documentation indicating the status of Titan Revenue, may request time to obtain an attorney is		
10	needed, or may make other arguments as appropriate.		
11	Dated: November 7, 2023		
12	Ferdal P. Newman		
13	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
14			
15 cutt.1907	Cuii.1907		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			